



THE GAY RELATED COMPLEX, PART 2: THE SOCIAL CONTEXT



The false notion of "Born This Way", invented in 1988 for legal reasons.

In our [previous article](#) in this series, we demonstrated that homosexuality does not exist as a separate entity. But before we expand on this, we need to understand why insights as these have become so highly contested in American society. Why do gay-lib activists insist that you were born this way and how has this unsubstantiated stance become mainstream? How can a century of psycho-analytic research have been silenced? To understand that, we need to explore the political context. Here is what happened and why. The content is shocking.

1. The emergence of the H.I.V. virus

In 1983 a new highly contagious disease, AIDS, spread rapidly in the circles of gay men, starting in California, USA. The epidemic was a mystery, but turned out to be closely related to homosexual behavior. Society reacted with great concern. It was then that gay activists panicked, realizing how this epidemic was exposing the downside of compulsive promiscuity.



1983 First mention of a new epidemic.


In a newly found freedom, men were having casual and anonymous sex with an average of 800 to 2,500 one-night-stands in the course of a few years (click [here](#)). Fear arose that society would investigate the homosexual acts of anal sex itself. 98% of gay sex has nothing to do with “love”. Society might intervene, and as a consequence, the freedom to have sex, whatever and whenever you choose, even if it is a highly dangerous thing to do, might be lost.

2. Activists to the rescue

Two gay PR professionals, Marshall Kirk and Dr. Hunter Madson, felt compelled to come to the rescue. In 1989 in a [groundbreaking article](#) in Guide Magazine, they demonstrated how to divert public attention away from the ghastly deadly practices of homosexuality.

A campaign was devised to change the image the other way around, and to promote the notion that not homosexuality, but discussing or challenging homosexuality, would constitute deadly practices. The campaign was launched in 1989, it became the dominant tactic in radical ideology, and a mere 27 years later it successfully led to a president, Barack Obama, reiterating the gay-lib rhetoric that discussing alternatives to the gay life-style by heterosexual medical professionals constitute “*deadly practices.*”

The ideas were handed to the White House in 2015 by means of a petition, drafted by gay-lib extremists. Obama even went on to say that the notion of lethal practices was based on “*overwhelming scientific evidence*” (Youtube, click [here](#)).



The screenshot shows a Facebook post titled "Supporting a Ban on Conversion Therapy" with 30,704 views, 522 likes, and 70 dislikes. The post is from "The Obama White House" and was published on April 10, 2015. The text of the post reads: "The overwhelming scientific evidence demonstrates that conversion therapy, especially when it is practiced on young people, is neither medically nor ethically appropriate and can cause substantial harm." Below the text is a "SHOW MORE" link. At the bottom of the post, it says "Comments are disabled for this video."

Such evidence has not been found in any scientific literature. The American Psychological Association even wrote in 2009 ([report, page 42](#)):

“Early and recent research studies provide no clear indication of the prevalence of harmful outcomes among people who have undergone efforts to change their sexual orientation or the frequency of occurrence of harm because no study to date of adequate scientific rigor has been explicitly designed to do so. Thus, we cannot conclude how likely it is

that harm will occur from Sexual Orientation Change Efforts.”

But with Obama now ignoring these facts, a major victory had been reached in the eyes of the activists. To this day, the hunting down of the H.I.V. virus and exposing the lethal aspects of compulsive gay sexual behavior, has been changed into hunting down psychotherapists who dare to challenge gay sexual behavior in the first place. A scapegoat had been created. All eyes are on heterosexuals. They are now portrayed as the biggest threat to the USA.

Hillary Clinton even recruited her daughter (who is no expert on any form of psychology) to [campaign in 2016](#) against therapists, accusing them of “*child abuse*” for discussing sexuality, and to campaign therefore in favor of the Democratic ticket:



“I think what I actually found most offensive really ties into me as a mom,” she said at a lunch hosted by the Human Rights Campaign, an LGBT rights advocacy group. “The open embrace of conversion therapy in the Republican Party platform — in other words, child abuse — to me is the clarion call for all of us to do everything we can to elect my mom, and elect Democrats up and down the ticket.”

Chelsea Clinton has never spoken or debated with a licensed therapist, and has no idea what she is talking about. This is scary, seeing the amount of power her mother wants.

3. Our analysis

It all started with their [article](#) in 1989, when Kirk and Madsen (K&M) promoted a huge publicity campaign to change the perceptions of the general public in a 180 degree turn. On his [web-site](#), Victor J. Adamson writes:

“The “born gay” hoax was invented in 1985 by Marshall Kirk and Dr. Hunter Madsen. Kirk graduated magna cum laude from Harvard University in 1980 majoring in Psychology. Madsen earned a PhD in politics from Harvard University in 1985.

In 1985, they co-authored an article entitled “The Gay Agenda” in a pro-sodomy magazine called Christopher Street. The article emphasized the strategic importance of shifting the central issue away from sodomy and toward a sexual pseudo-identity called “gay.”

The goal of “The Gay Agenda” was to force opponents of sodomy into a position where they would be seen as attacking the civil rights of so-called “gay” citizens, rather than opposing a specific antisocial behavior. “The Gay Agenda” also briefly outlined the strategy

that would eventually be used to convince the public that individuals are “born gay.”

In 1989 K&M went on to write [*“The Overhauling of Straight America”*](#) (a must-read for all who are following the debate), which has become the gay activist manual ever since. We will comment on each section of their article, and demonstrate how this strategy has led to a redefining of what it means to be human, in spite of all scientific research and protests to the contrary.

4. Indifference

In this article, K&M start off with:

“The first order of business is desensitization of the American public concerning gays. To desensitize the public is to help it view homosexuality with indifference instead of with keen emotion.”

Our comment: From a client’s point of view, the last thing we need is public indifference when we now know that 80% of all Afro-Americans who adopt the gay label, will be infected with the H.I.V. virus before the age of 50 (Center of Disease Control, Atlanta, 2016). After all, compulsive homosexual behavior and the epidemic of H.I.V., Hepatitis C and Chlamydia are closely interrelated.

5. Media campaign

K&M go on to say:

“A large-scale media campaign will be required in order to change the image of gays in America. If only you can get them to think that it is just another thing, with a shrug of their shoulders, then your battle for legal and social rights is virtually won.”

Our comment: Medically, this constitutes sheer neglect. In a paranoid frenzy of alleged lack of “rights”, all mental and physical health issues are swept under the carpet. The welfare and health of young people are sacrificed on the altar of an alleged Civil Rights War. The general public is demotivated to take a close look at the realities of homosexual thought and behavior. K&M devised a campaign to deliberately cover up what actually goes on. No exposure to the truth:

“The main thing is to talk about gayness until the issue becomes thoroughly tiresome. And when we say talk about homosexuality, we mean just that. In the early stages of any campaign to reach straight America, the masses should not be shocked and repelled by premature exposure to homosexual behavior itself. Instead, the imagery of sex should be

downplayed and gay rights should be reduced to an abstract social question as much as possible. First let the camel get his nose inside the tent—only later his unsightly derriere!”

6. Essence of the PR strategy

As PR-experts, they show how to win the hearts of those who have their doubts about homosexual promiscuity.

“The average American household watches over seven hours of TV daily. Those hours open up a gateway into the private world of straights, through which a Trojan horse might be passed. In any campaign to win over the public, gays must be cast as victims in need of protection so that straights will be inclined by reflex to assume the role of protector.”

“We can undermine the moral authority of churches by portraying them as antiquated backwaters, badly out of step with the times and with the latest findings of psychology.”

“Our campaign should not demand direct support for homosexual practices, should instead take abstract anti-discrimination as its theme. The right to free speech, freedom of beliefs, freedom of association, due process and equal protection of laws – these should be the concerns brought to mind by our campaign, never the content.”

Our comment: By removing the content of homosexual feelings from the equation, and replacing it by an abstract struggle of a David fighting an overwhelming Goliath, we now see the emergence of a radicalized gay-lib. From 2015 onward, they demand (see our previous articles) that psychotherapists who dare to challenge the compulsion and hang-ups of homosexual behavior should be hunted down (the NCLR “Bornperfect” campaign), their practice declared illegal (the “50 States, 50 Bills” campaign) and the “perpetrators” sent to prison (successfully achieved in Malta, December 2016 by the American activist group ILGA).

When it comes to issues of rights in a free society, we see those same rights of dissidents being trampled: the rights of psychotherapists and their clients, independent thinkers as they are.

7. Building a new image

K&M write:

“Make gays look good. In order to make a Gay Victim sympathetic to straights you have to portray him as Everyman. But an additional theme of the campaign should be more aggressive and upbeat: to offset the increasingly bad press that these times have brought

to homosexual men and women, the campaign should paint gays as superior pillars of society. Yes, yes, we know — this trick is so old it creaks. Other minorities use it all the time in ads that announce proudly, “Did you know that this Great Man (or Woman) was __?” But the message is vital. In no time, a skillful and clever media campaign could have the gay community looking like the veritable fairy godmother to Western Civilization.”

At the same time, we see the activists crafting a defamation campaign towards psychotherapists and men or women who experience their personal same-sex attractions as less desirable or unwanted. In their campaign “*BornPerfect*”, the NCLR radical lesbians write about Dr. Joseph Nicolosi Sr.:

“He has blood on his hands.” “These practices are extremely harmful, they are deadly, they are linked to suicide, depression and anxiety states, not to mention reparative rape of lesbians in underground torture chambers below churches all across the country”([PA-HO report](#)).

“These extreme anti-LGBT groups are grasping at straws with these lawsuits,” NCLR Executive Director Kate Kendell. “Every mainstream medical and mental health association in the country has warned that these practices are ineffective and dangerous. The state has the right and obligation to protect young people from this abuse, which can lead to depression, substance abuse, self-harm, and even suicide.”

None of [these](#) allegations are true, but it has an agonizing effect, even on professionals who ought to be wary of fake news. No-one dares to do fact-checking on people when they first portray themselves as victims. The image that these PR-professionals have designed is finally paying off: everyone blindly believes radical activists and decides to back off from the discussion, sensing that vile things are perhaps being perpetrated by heterosexuals. They don’t want to know anymore.

8. Defaming dissidence

In their strategy, the PR-specialists further advise to slander and defame persons with another view to the extent that they will be marginalized in society.

“Make the victimizers look bad. At a later stage of the media campaign for gay rights – long after other gay ads have become commonplace – it will be time to get tough with remaining opponents. To be blunt, they must be vilified. We intend to make the other side look so nasty that average Americans will want to dissociate themselves from such types.



The public should be shown images of ranting homophobes whose secondary traits and beliefs disgust middle America. These images might include: the Ku Klux Klan demanding that gays be burned alive or castrated; bigoted Southern ministers drooling with hysterical hatred to a degree that looks both comical and deranged; menacing punks, thugs, and convicts speaking coolly about the “fags” they have killed or would like to kill; a tour of Nazi concentration camps where homosexuals were tortured and gassed.”

This advice was followed in 2016 by the gay attorneys of the radical left-wing Southern Poverty Law Center (SPLC). After having successfully silenced the Jewish non-profit organization JONAH (Jews Offering New Alternatives to Homosexuality) in 2015, (see our [12- article series](#)), the SPLC published an “account” of the civil court case comparing therapy to the doings of the Nazi regime (see below). The message is subliminal and it works:

“The men and women who people this industry — known as “conversion,” “reparative” or “ex-gay” therapists — are like modern-day phrenologists, the “experts” beloved by the Nazis who thought they could identify inferior human beings by measuring their subjects’ skulls. They use techniques that were described in court by one expert as “worse than snake oil.” They are quacks.”

Notice the subliminal messages of ‘Nazis’, ‘inferior’, ‘techniques’, ‘measuring skulls’, all of which are as fabricated as they are outrageous. But this is PR, and it works.

9. Which “gay” rights were at stake in 1989?

One may wonder what rights were members of gay-lib so concerned about. After all, homosexual feelings and behavior themselves were not forbidden in the USA, and the gay press and pornography makers had in all freedom even successfully created a profitable multi-billion industry with no intrusions. The right to love or adore someone itself wasn’t the issue.

The rights consisted of the following:

- The right to secret and unmonitored hedonism in baths, darkrooms, sex parties and orgies;



- the right to be freed from any form of morality, conscience or accountability;
- the right to get infected by viruses/germs/fungi and infect others, even if the infection is lethal;
- the right to keep on donating blood even if it means a great risk to blood receivers due to antibody-detection difficulties during the window phase in the H.I.V.-infection;
- the right to practice unprotected anal sex (barebacking); jail sentences for deliberately and recklessly infecting others need to be diminished or entirely removed;
- the right to commit unwanted sexual intimacies, sexual assault and rape in the darkroom scene as a free haven without heterosexual surveillance or law enforcement;
- the right to anonymity in order to avoid accountability in the spreading of the H.I.V.-virus (click [here](#));



Meat Market Club in Amsterdam: (quote) "They can't see who is fucking them nor can they choose. No refusals."

- unrestricted access to mind-altering drugs for use during sexual encounters and sex orgies;
- access to gay police officers when filing complaints against heterosexuals in order to be assured of the mutual sharing of hedonistic values ('my crowd');
- the right to substitute a healthy sense of maleness/femaleness with gender confusion as a new and desirable health norm in society and child psychiatry;
- the "human right" to disseminate unrestricted pornography including sado-masochism to minors, including young children;
- the right to teach children the joys of sexual positions (anal, oral, vaginal), sexual fetishes and transitional surgery as part of the kindergarten and primary school curriculum.



*Amsterdam anonymous Gay Sex Parties:
White hoods for safe sex; Red hoods for NO
CONDOM.*

In short, the concern was to be freed from restrictive discussions and to continue, to be apologetic to, and to promote unrestricted hedonism in spite of the emergence of obvious major health issues. Restriction and accountability were felt to be a doom scenario for every homosexual man. The realities of H.I.V. and death casualties had to be downplayed.

10. A false sense of urgency

In order to tackle this challenge, Kirk and Madsen advised to avoid exposing the secret (but gigantically practiced) dark sides of gay behavior and to divert attention to heterosexuals, portraying them as the lethal menace to society. Turn the tables around, portray heterosexual mental health workers as the source of mental health problems and deaths of homosexuals, marginalize the critics by personal attacks, make them look bad for daring to criticize.

K&M write:

“And, let us repeat, time may be running out. The AIDS epidemic is sparking anger and fear in the heartland of straight America. As the virus leaks out of homosexual circles and into the rest of society, we need have no illusions about who is receiving the blame. The ten years ahead may decide for the next forty whether gays claim their liberty or are driven back, once again, as America’s caste of detested untouchables. It’s more than a quip: speak now or forever hold your peace”.

11. The effects of the PR campaign

To this day, the campaign is extremely effective:

- The majority of the Democratic Party has made the LGBT agenda their agenda, due to immense donations to election campaigns;
- the content of homosexual and lesbian behavior is not discussed or put up for debate, and the idea of doing so has been labeled ‘bigot’;
- calling for accountability has been labeled anti-LGBT;
- gender confusion and compulsive hedonism is being normalized in psychiatry by gay activists who have infiltrated, if not to say monopolized professional Task Forces on the subject (ultimately, this move will lead to the legal prosecution of professionals who still think otherwise);
- professionals who have an evidence-based understanding of homosexuality are being treated as though they are one and the same as the staunchest homophobic sectarian rural

spokesmen;

- licensed therapists are increasingly and deliberately being silenced in states across America by changing the rules, based on fake news and fake unsubstantiated testimonials;
- professional and scientific debate has successfully come to a halt in several professional organizations;
- gay-lib extremist activism is being exported to the rest of the world as a modern-day form of American cultural imperialism;
- the extremists have gained the financial resources to do so.

12. The political context

If there is one group out there who knows for sure that having homosexual feelings is far more complicated than the way that K&M want it portrayed, far more than just having a merry old time and being awfully proud, then it is therapists.

And that is why they need to be silenced.

They, and only they, have the professional arguments on their side to demonstrate how shallow and phoney the rhetoric really is. This then is the political context of the discussion with therapists who do not automatically buy into the fairy tale world of the rainbow colored flag. They know more than the average man. They spend hundreds of hours talking about intimacies with the client. They need to shut up.

13. Is there a way forward?

Most certainly. It is only a battle of words. Here is a battle plan:

- we must use words and phrases to reclaim a healthy mind-frame for the development of our children.
- we need to end the glorification of identity confusion and gender confusion.
- we need to alleviate the psychological neglect of those who have been led to believe that their personal predicament is unique, incomprehensible and innate.
- we need to market our professionalism in every accessible arena, do what we are good at, and show it.

- above all, we need end the us-them polarity in mainstream thought.

In the next article, Part 3, we will expand on this counter-strategy and show what homosexual feelings are really all about.

To be continued.

Job Berendsen, MD.

Gary Morgan.

[Complete Series](#)

[Next Part](#)

[Home](#)

[Download Free Booklets](#)